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Session Objectives

The objective of this session is to:

• Report back to the Board on the activities of the sub-committee, including an analysis of the draft hosting terms and proposed partnership requirements and adjustments to the draft terms to be recommended to WHO

• Brief discussion on alternatives

• Agree on decision points

• Define next steps in the process
Rationale

As a result of the Stop TB Partnership not having a MOU with WHO, at the Washington DC Board meeting a Sub-Committee on Governance, Performance and Finance was formed with members from the Board:

- Marja Esveld, Sub-Committee Chair (Donor Constituency)
- Rifat Atun (Multilateral Constituency)
- Nils Billo (NGOs and Technical Agencies Constituency)
- Jeremiah Chakaya (Working Groups and STAG Chair)
- Blessi Kumar (Communities Constituency)
- Mel Spigelman (Working Groups)

The Sub-Committee was tasked with advancing the agreement of a Memorandum of Understanding with WHO clarifying the hosting arrangements
Background

May 2010, WHA endorsed Policy on WHO Engagement with Global Health Partnerships and Hosting Arrangements (WHA63.10)

• "For the purposes of this policy, the term 'formal partnerships' refers to those partnerships with or without a separate legal personality but with a governance structure (for example, a board or steering committee) that takes decisions on direction, workplans and budgets."

(WHA63.10, pg. 3)

This policy lays out the criteria for WHO's engagement with partnerships and parameters for hosting them
Background

Draft hosting terms were developed by WHO to better define mutual roles and responsibilities on:

- Staffing
- Financial matters
- Communications, logo, branding

The hosting terms are in draft form.

Comments have been provided to WHO by its hosted partnerships, including TBP.

A revised draft is expected from WHO within the coming months.

Discussion on current working relations between the Secretariat and WHO/STB
Key Principles of the Stop TB Partnership Response

- Human Resources
- Financial Matters
- Partnership Head
- Communications, logo, branding

These points do not carry equal weight

It is important to prioritize what the Partnership feels are the most significant issues requiring clarification

Almost identical comments were made by all other WHO-hosted partnerships
Human Resources

Flexibility in hiring & retention of staff, more specifically:

A. Fast tracking vacancies and appointments

B. Reduced restrictions on key HR aspects

C. Principle of Equality
Financial Matters

Programme Support Costs (PSC)

Increase of the 7% PSC rate for activities to 13% will have an impact for the biennium 2012/2013

Costs of Hosting

Accountability
Partnership Head

The reporting line of the Executive Secretary should be harmonized with other major Partnerships (RBM, UNITAID, Mother and Child, Health Metrics, Global Workforce, etc.)

• The performance of the Executive Secretary should be assessed by the Board (WHO is a member of the CB)
• Recruitment and selection process should continue to be done with substantive involvement of the Board
• Representation of WHO on the board not equal to reporting lines
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Communications

TBP would like to be able to use its own logo and not need to clear all media/external relations materials through WHO DCO

- Currently, the Partnership shares its materials targeting the media prior to publishing, but it does not seek official clearance through the WHO Communications Department; however, any technical material contained in communications material is cleared with an appropriate focal point in WHO. This should continue.

- The Partnership develops and distributes a wide variety of press releases and media stories across a number of different channels
  - The Partnership would like to maintain these arrangements

- On advocacy publications and other materials, the Partnership would like to explore the possibility to publish documents using only its own logo
Summary of Key Requirements

Human Resources and Partnership Head
Flexibility in hiring and retaining staff

Financial
TBP to be placed outside the Programme Budget

Partnership Secretariat Head
Reporting line for the Executive Secretary should be in line with other major partnerships

Communication
Flexibility in use of logo, clearance of documents

Others
E-mail addresses to acknowledge the identity of the Stop TB Partnership
Alternatives Explored

The Committee considered other possibilities for hosting the Partnership

Preliminary information was collected from selected institutions, however more work needs to be done to take this work further if needed
Stop TB Partnership 2.0

C - CHAMPION OF TB GLOBALLY
H - HOSTED WITH IDENTITY
E - EMPOWERED EXECUTIVE SECRETARY ACCOUNTABLE TO AND FULLY SUPPORT BY THE BOARD
E - EQUAL WITH OTHER PARTNERSHIPS
T - TOGETHER WITH WHO
A - ADG REPORTING LINE
H - HIGH ACCOUNTABILITY TO ALL PARTNERS