NATIONAL CONSULTATIVE MEETING

Civil Society contribution to RNTCP planning Phase III
The Process.
New Delhi, 6th - 7th January 2011.

Name of Presenter: Dr. Darivianca E.Laloo
Secretariat of the Partnership.
Inception:

- 4th Steering Committee meeting agenda;
  (a) discussion on National Consultative meeting
  (b) forming working group for PPM.
- CTD asked for recommendations from the civil society perspective for inclusion in the RNTCP phase III planning.
- Steering Committee(SC) decided to form working groups from within the SC to work on 3 components i.e. Service delivery, ACSM and PPM.
Milestones:

- Concept note conceptualized with technical assistance from The Union and meetings organized by the Secretariat of the Partnership of each of the 3 working group members.
- Working papers were suggested which is evidence based including references.
- Groups discussed their methods of data collection and kept email conversations on the process.
Group members:

(I) PPM: -
  a) Dr. S.N. Misra (Futures group) (Lead)
  b) Dr. Ramnik Ahuja (CII)
  c) Dr. P.C. Bhatnagar (VHAI)
  Technical Advisor: Dr. Geetanjali Sharma

(II) ACSM:-
  a) Dr. Sugata Mukhopadhya (CRS)
  b) Dr. Vijay Edwards (NTC) (Lead)
  c) Dr. P.C. Bhatnagar (VHAI)
  Technical Advisor: Dr. Vishnu Kamineni

(III) Service delivery:-
  a) Dr. Sugata Mukhopadhay (CRS)
  b) Dr. A. Sangma (CMAI)
  c) Dr. A. Cherian (EHA) (Lead)
  d) Mr. P.T. Mohanadoss (DLRH)
  e) Dr. P.C. Bhatnagar (VHAI)
  Technical Advisor: Mr. Subrat Mohanty
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>WG planning meeting</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Compiling of data and preparation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Paper writing</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Submission of working paper</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Steering committee meeting</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Next step:

- Data collection methods;
  - Collection of challenges and solutions from records of the regional consultative meetings.
  - ACSM group developed a format and sent it to all partners
  - Request was sent to partners for their suggestions
  - Response from Partners were ‘lukewarm’ so the group could compile only what was available with inputs from the Technical Advisors and other resources available to them.
In spite of the time constraints and limited data the teams compiled their data in 3 separate papers.

CTD requested the papers be consolidated into one paper “CIVIL SOCIETY PERSPECTIVE OF TB CARE AND CONTROL IN INDIA: CHALLENGES & SOLUTIONS” which was 10 pages with the following contents;
- Background; RNTCP
- Civil Society Engagement: History of CSO Involvement
- Problem Statement; Service Delivery, ACSM & PPM
- Challenges: Service Delivery, ACSM & PPM
- Recommendations: Service Delivery, ACSM & PPM
- Conclusion

Decisions to shorten the Recommendations and to include what action can be taken up by civil society was taken during the Special Steering Committee meeting on the 29th October 2010.
Follow up:

- An Emergency meeting of the working groups was held at the Secretariat in November 15th and a Summary of Challenges, Recommendations and Action by civil society discussed (in folder).
- PPM = 3 recommendations
- ACSM = 6 recommendations
- Service Delivery = 6 recommendations
- A ‘voting’ request was circulated to all Partners for their views:
  - To VOTE, Please choose from the below options and use the box provided;
    (A) Recommendation and Action remains as it is without any changes.
    (B) Recommendation and Action remains but with slight modification.
    (C) Recommendation and Action changes totally. (Please give suggestion in box)
    (D) Recommendation and Action removed.
6 Partners (Blossom Trust, GRAVIS, SIR, Eli Lilly, WCC, VIDT) and the SC (8) sent their votes and including suggestions received from external experts.

The recommendation with the highest ‘A’ votes will be discussed first in the group work of each group today to avoid missing a joint consensus point then the others follow.

Working group are presenting the working paper/recommendations including the votes and suggestions for discussion in the group work later.

Each participant have been allotted groups according to their registration forms and have been colour coded.

- Pink = ACSM, Green = PPM, Blue = Service Delivery
During the group work:

- Each group will have a rapporteur who will record the proceedings.
- A time keeper will be chosen from the group to keep track of time for each recommendation.
- The Chair and facilitators will ensure that the discussion does not get side tracked to irrelevant topics but focus on a result oriented discussion.
- Each group will present their ppt presentation on the 7th and a Panel discussion will take place.
Post Meeting:

- Secretariat will compile all group presentations and send to a technical expert who will write the consolidated report.
- This report from the meeting will be sent to CTD for necessary action.
- All information on meetings minutes, reports, working paper, summary, etc will be uploaded onto the website.
Thank you