

SMARTER USE OF GLOBAL FUND RESOURCES FOR IMPACT Partners perspective

Dr Christian Gunneberg

TECHNICAL SUPPORT COORDINATION

Global TB Programme,

WHO Geneva

27 October 2016






Successes

- Our technical expertise is requested for Reviews, NSP revision, Epi analysis, concept note development. (data from WHO).
- Some instances, Partners are becoming members of CCM
- IPT process engaged GF country teams with WHO country offices in a major way.
- Funding of prevalence surveys
 - HERE COMES THE SUN


Detection and reporting gaps systematically higher for men



Challenges

- Coordination and sometimes even lack of clarity of roles of the different players in-country. In some countries still coordination/communication difficulties with GF missions
- Countries (NTPs) have TA needs for the different components, there is still a big dependency on this. Long-term need for capacity building at national level. High Human resource turn-over.
- Partners do not have access to detailed activity plans and budgets in all countries.. This makes it hard to coordinate and increase efficiency.
- When it comes to implementing the grant...we are often kept in the dark by the PR unless there is a crisis. No joint progress monitoring.
- FUNDING CRISIS

FUNDING CRISIS



Way forward

Close monitoring:	regular meetings with transparency on workplan implementation
Capacity building	for Global Fund grant implementation
Communications:	Between TB Partners and CCM PR NTP MOH FPM/ country team and Global Fund infrastructure at national level the Local Fund agent and the Fiscal agent.