Comparative effectiveness of regimens for drug-susceptible tuberculous meningitis in children and adolescents: a systematic review and aggregate-level meta-analysis

Dr. Giorgia Sulis, MD, PhD

Department of Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Occupational Health | McGill University

Authors:

Sulis G, Tavaziva G, Gore G, Benedetti A, Solomons R, van Toorn R, Thee S, Day J, Verkuijl, Brands A, Viney K, Masini T, Ahmad Khan F, Chiang SS

November 30, 2021

Background & Objectives

Childhood TB Meningitis

- Second most common form of extrapulmonary TB
- Higher risk in infants and toddlers
- Deadliest, most debilitating form of TB
 - Deaths: 19.3% (14.0 26.1)
 - Neurological sequelae among survivors: 53.9% (42.6 – 64.9)
 - Probability of survival without sequelae:
 36.7% (27.9 46.4)



Challenges

- Low quality evidence to guide regimen design
- Previous WHO recommendation (2010):

<u>2HRZE/10HR</u> → "strong recommendation, low-quality evidence"

- Literature review of 46 studies (21 exclusively pediatric) by Donald PR et al
- Studies mostly <u>non-randomized</u>, <u>non-comparative</u>; differed in design, drugs, populations
- Most reported regimens ≥12 months
- Only study reported shorter regimen (Cape Town regimen)
- 2014 SR-MA: too many variations in drugs and doses to compare regimens (27 different regimens)
- Clinical trials: none published to date, many challenges

No better evidence for TB meningitis treatment in adults



WHO Guidelines 2010:

- **Same regimen for pulmonary and extrapulmonary** TB. i.e. 2HRZE/4HR.
- <u>Some experts</u> recommend 9–12 months of treatment for TB meningitis given the serious risk of disability and mortality.
- In TB meningitis, ethambutol should be replaced by streptomycin.
- Optimal duration of treatment yet to be investigated.

WHO Guidelines 2017:

 In patients with TB meningitis, an initial adjuvant corticosteroid therapy with dexamethasone or prednisolone tapered over 6-8 weeks should be used (Strong recommendation, moderate certainty in the evidence)



Developments since 2014

- More published observational studies using WHO regimen or variation
- More data from South Africa using 6month intensive regimen (6HRZEto)
- More standardized reporting, including use of standardized case definitions / diagnostic criteria (Marais et al. Lancet Infect Dis 2010)



PICO Question

In children (<10 years old) and adolescents (10-19 years old) with microbiologically confirmed or clinically diagnosed rifampin-susceptible tuberculous meningitis (TB meningitis), should a 6-month intensive regimen, compared to the current 12-month regimen that conforms to WHO guideline be used?

Methods

Search & selection of studies

SEARCH

- Updated from 2014 SR&MA
- Key terms for "TB meningitis", "children/adolescents" and "outcomes"
- 6 databases
- Launched on Feb 24, 2021
- Unpublished studies

SCREENING PROCESS

- Pre-specified protocol with detailed inclusion/exclusion criteria
- 2 independent reviewers performed title/abstract screening, full-text screening, data extraction and quality assessment
- Discrepancies solved by discussion or arbitration by a third researcher
- Standardized data collection form

INCLUSION CRITERIA

- Design: cohort studies, clinical trials
- <u>Language</u>: English, French, Chinese, German, Italian, Portuguese, Russian, Spanish, Turkish, Ukrainian
- <u>Population</u>: children (<10 y.o.) and adolescents (10-19 y.o.) with TBM defined as per prespecified criteria
- <u>Treatment</u>: available details with respect to at least composition and duration
 - SHORTER REGIMENS → 6-month intensive regimens or 6 to <12 months regimens of various forms
 - STANDARD REGIMEN \rightarrow 2HRZE/10HR
- <u>Outcomes</u>: at least death and/or neurologic sequelae at the end of treatment

EXCLUSION CRITERIA

- No patients <15 y.o. or pediatric data not disaggregated
- No TBM cases included (or disaggregated)
- Diagnostic criteria for TBM not reported
- Treatment details not specified
- Outcome data not reported
- Ineligible regimen:
 - RIF not included
 - ≥12-month regimens other than WHO regimen
 - Intermittent regimens
 - Non-intensive short regimens (e.g. 2HRZE/4HR)
- Population restricted to patients with complications
- Sample size <10 patients</p>
- Duplicate data

Risk of bias assessment

Tailored checklist covering key domains:

- 1. Bias related to participant selection & loss to follow-up
- 2. Bias related to diagnostic uncertainty
- 3. Bias related to treatment allocation
- 4. Bias related to outcomes
 - death
 - neurologic sequelae
- 5. Bias related to confounding
 - age
 - HIV status
 - disease stage
 - drug-resistance

Data analysis

- Pooled proportions estimated across studies and within regimens through aggregate-level meta-analysis using generalized linear mixed models
 - <u>Death</u> by end of treatment
 - Loss to follow-up
 - <u>Treatment success</u> (= known alive by end of treatment)
 - <u>Neurological sequelae</u> (among survivors)
 - Probability of survival without neurological sequelae (among those starting treatment)
- Challenges → small number of studies and high between-study heterogeneity
- Subgroup analyses planned but not feasible due to lack of data

Results



7 studies included

- \circ 4 on regimens 6 to <12 mo
 - 3 published
 - 1 unpublished

• 3 on standard 12-mo regimen

- 2 published
- 1 unpublished

Studies on regimens of 6 to <12 months' duration

	Reference	Study type and setting	Regimen	Patient characteristics	Major outcomes	Bias concerns	
	van Toorn 2014	PC South Africa 2006-2009	6HRZEto + steroids	135 HIV-uninfected children.Median age: 2.9 yearsTBM stage: 16 stage 1;68 stage 2; 51 stage 3.	 Deaths: 6 (4.4%), all <8 days of treatment initiation. No relapses during 2-year post-treatment FUP. TS: 129 (95.6%). NS: 71/129 (55.0%). 	Unknown adherence; confounding by age.	
	van Well 2009	RC South Africa 1985-2005	6HRZEto + steroids	554 children of whom 2013 with known HIV status and 8 HIV- infected. Median age: 5.5 years TBM stage: 14 stage 1; 318 stage 2; 222 stage 3.	 Deaths: 53 (9.6%), mostly in stage 3 patients. TS: 435 (78.5%). NS: 294/435 (66.7%). 	Confounding by indication; unknown adherence; >10% patients had missing outcome data; confounding by age.	724 sta tra
	Solomons (unpublished)	RC South Africa 2011-2014	6HRZEto + steroids (63% of patients)	35 children (3/35 HIV- infected). Median age: 2.5 years TBM stage: 6 stage 1; 15 stage 2; 14 stage 3.	 Deaths: none. TS: 35 (100%). NS: 28/35 (80.0%). 	Confounding by age.	
Excluded from meta-analysis	Bang 2016	PC Vietnam 2009-2011	2HRZES/1HRZE/ 5HRE + steroids	100 children (4/96 HIV- infected). Median age: 2.7 years TBM stage: 59 stage 1; 23 stage 2; 18 stage 3.	 Deaths: 15 (15.0%), 93.3% <45 days of diagnosis. TS: 81 (81.0%). NS: 27/81 (33.3%). 	Confounding by indication; unknown adherence; potential inclusion of drug- resistant cases.	—

724 patients started on

treatment

NS, Neurologic sequelae; PC, Prospective cohort; RC, Retrospective cohort; TBM, TB meningitis; TS, Treatment success

Studies on standard 12-month regimen

Reference	Study type and setting	Regimen	Patient characteristics	Major outcomes	Bias concerns	
Dhawan 2016	PC India 2010-2013	2HRZE/10HR + steroids	130 HIV-uninfected children (age unspecified). TBM stage: 26 stage 1; 56 stage 2; 48 stage 3.	 Deaths: 39 (30.0%), mostly associated with stage 3 and occurring shortly after treatment initiation. TS: 91 (70.0%) NS: 29/91 (31.9%). 	Patient sampling; confounding by indication; unknown adherence; confounding by age and stage.	
Gupta 2017	PC India 2012-2014	2HRZE/10HR [adjunctive treatment unknown]	138 children aged <18 years. [‡] TBM stage not reported.	 Deaths: 29 (21.0%) – details not reported. TS: 109 (79.0%) NS: 42/109 (38.5%). 	Patient sampling; confounding by indication; adherence and adjunctive treatment unknown; confounding by age and stage.	282 patients Started on treatment
Thee (unpublished from ptbnet)	RC Europe (multiple countries) 2009-2016	2HRZE/10HR + steroids	14 HIV-uninfected children. Median age: 3.3 years. TBM stage: 2 stage 1; 11 stage 2; 1 stage 3.	 Deaths: 1 (7.1%) in stage 3. TS: 12 (85.7%). NS: 6/12 (50.0%). 	Patient sampling; confounding by indication; unknown adherence; non- standardized approach to assess NS.	

NS, Neurologic sequelae; PC, Prospective cohort; TBM, TB meningitis; TS, Treatment success

Meta-analysis findings: 6HRZEto vs. 2HRZE/10HR

Outcome		Int	ervention: 6HRZEt	0		Com	parator: 2HRZE/1	OHR
	No		Pooled proport	tion (95% CI)	No		Pooled propo	rtion (95% CI)
	studies	n/N	Random-effects model	Fixed-effects model	studies	n/N	Random- effects model	Fixed-effects model
Death	3	59/724	0.06 (0.02-0.13)	0.08 (0.06- 0.10)	3	68/282	0.24 (0.18-0.32)	0.24 (0.20-0.30)
Loss to follow- up	3	66/724	0.0 (0.0-0.51)	0.09 (0.07- 0.11)	2	1/144	0.01 (0.0-0.24)	0.01 (0.0-0.05)
Treatment success	3	599/724	0.95 (0.74-0.99)	0.83 (0.80- 0.85)	3	212/282	0.75 (0.69-0.81)	0.75 (0.70-0.80)
Neurological sequelae	3	393/599	0.66 (0.55-0.75)	0.66 (0.62- 0.69)	3	77/212	0.36 (0.30-0.43)	036 (0.30-0.43)
Survival without neurological sequelae	3	206/724	0.30 (0.20-0.41)	0.28 (0.25- 0.32)	3	135/282	0.48 (0.42-0.54)	0.48 (0.42-0.54)

Proportion of <u>deaths</u> by end of treatment: 6HRZEto vs. 2HRZE/10HR

Outcome		Int	ervention: 6HRZEt	0	Comparator: 2HRZE/10HR				
	No		Pooled proport	tion (95% CI)	No		Pooled proportion (95% CI)		
	studies	n/N	Random-effects model	Fixed-effects model	studies	n/N	Random- effects model	Fixed-effects model	
Death	3	59/724	0.06 (0.02-0.13)	0.08 (0.06-	3	68/282	0.24 (0.18-0.32)	0.24 (0.20-0.30)	
				0.10)					



No. of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Certainty
6	Observational	Very serious	Serious	Very serious	Not serious	⊕○○○ Very low

Proportion of <u>lost to follow-up</u>: 6HRZEto vs. 2HRZE/10HR

Outcome		Int	ervention: 6HRZEt	0	Comparator: 2HRZE/10HR				
	No		Pooled proport	tion (95% CI)	No		Pooled proportion (95% CI)		
	studies	n/N	Random-effects model	Fixed-effects model	studies	n/N	Random- effects model	Fixed-effects model	
Loss to follow-	3	66/724	0.0 (0.0-0.51)	0.09 (0.07-	2	1/144	0.01 (0.0-0.24)	0.01 (0.0-0.05)	
up				0.11)					



No. of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Certainty
5	Observational	Very serious	Serious	Very serious	Not serious	⊕○○○ Very low

Proportion of <u>treatment success</u>: 6HRZEto vs. 2HRZE/10HR

Outcome		Int	ervention: 6HRZEt	0		Com	parator: 2HRZE/10	OHR	
	No		Pooled proport	tion (95% CI)	n (95% CI)		Pooled proportion (95% CI)		
	studies	n/N	Random-effects model	Fixed-effects model	studies	n/N	Random- effects model	Fixed-effects model	
Treatment success	3	599/724	0.95 (0.74-0.99)	0.83 (0.80- 0.85)	3	212/282	0.75 (0.69-0.81)	0.75 (0.70-0.80)	



No. of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Certainty
5	Observational	Very serious	Serious	Very serious	Not serious	⊕○○○ Very low

Proportion with <u>neuro sequelae</u> among survivors: 6HRZEto vs. 2HRZE/10HR

Outcome		Int	ervention: 6HRZEt	0		Com	parator: 2HRZE/1	OHR	
	No		Pooled proport	tion (95% CI)	No		Pooled proportion (95% CI)		
	studies	n/N	Random-effects model	Fixed-effects model	studies	n/N	Random- effects model	Fixed-effects model	
Neurological	3	393/599	0.66 (0.55-0.75)	0.66 (0.62-	3	77/212	0.36 (0.30-0.43)	036 (0.30-0.43)	
sequelae				0.69)					



NEUROLOGICAL SEQUELAE





12-month regimen

12

91

109

No. of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Certainty
5	Observational	Very serious	Very serious	Very serious	Not serious	⊕○○○ Very low

1

Proportion

Probability of <u>survival without neuro sequelae</u>: 6HRZEto vs. 2HRZE/10HR

Outcome			Int	erventio	on: 6HRZEto)			C	Compa	rator: 2HRZE/1	IOHF	ł	
	No			Po	oled proport	tion (95% C	CI)	No			Pooled prope	ortio	n (95% CI	[)
	studie	S	n/N	Rand	om-effects model	Fixed-ef mode	fects el	studies	n/N	I	Random- effects model	Fixed-effe model		cts
Survival	3	20	06/724	0.30 ((0.20-0.41)	0.28 (0.25-		3	135/2	82	0.48 (0.42-0.54)	0	.48 (0.42-0).54)
without						0.32)								
neurological														
sequelae														
		6-mont	h regimen	1	SUR	/IVAL WITHOU	JT SEQU	ELAE		12-m	onth regimen			
Study	Recovered	Patients	Proportion	n [95% CI]			Study		Recovered	Patients	Proportion [95% CI]			
van Toorn et al (2014) van Well et al (2009) Solomons et al (unpublished)	58 141 7	135 554 35	0.430 [0.3 0.255 [0.2 0.200 [0.0	45; 0.518] 19; 0.293] 84; 0.369]			Thee et al Dhawan e Gupta et a	(unpublished) t al (2016) al (2017)	6 62 67	14 130 138	 0.429 [0.177; 0.711] 0.477 [0.389; 0.566] 0.486 [0.400; 0.572] 	-		
Pooled	206	724	0.299 [0.2	04; 0.414 <u>]</u>	0 0.2 0.4 0	.6 0.8 1	Pooled		135	282	2 0.479 [0.421; 0.537]	0 0.	2 0.4 0.6	0.8 1
					Proporti	on							Proportion	

No. of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Certainty
5	Observational	Very serious	Serious	Very serious	Not serious	⊕○○○ Very low

Concluding remarks

- <u>Very few studies</u> meet inclusion criteria to inform PICO 5 (treatment outcomes seldom reported from the regimens of interest, outcomes reported only at end of hospitalization)
- Key findings:
 - Mortality by end of treatment higher with 2HRZE/10HR versus 6HRZEto
 - Probability of survival without neurological sequelae slightly lower with 6HRZEto
- Great <u>caution is advised</u> in interpreting pooled estimates
 - Small number of studies
 - High potential for confounding by indication
 - Residual confounding
 - Between-study heterogeneity in assessment of neurological sequelae
- 6HRZEto has been used for almost 35 years in South Africa, with comparable results as observed with standard regimen.

Note: no relapses observed in a subset of patients 2 years post treatment (van Toorn et al, 2014)



Special thanks to: Tamara Kredo, Lawrence Mbuagbaw, Kelly Dooley, Yana Sheremeta, Sansu Chiang **Funding:** GTB/WHO