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I shall mention several technologies and tests, this will not be an exhaustive list because there are other tests in development that I don’t know about or where information about their development is confidential.

I shall begin by describing tests that are close to the market and then move backwards through the pipeline to end with some brief examples of novel technologies in development.

And then a little advocacy . . .
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What is a POCT?

A test which can be performed at the site at which care is provided with immediate results, without referral to a specialist laboratory.

A test which can be performed at the site of specimen collection.

For TB this may be within a community or home setting, a clinic or at the hospital bedside.
Multiple challenges at POC

Sample collection

TEST DEVICE
Biomarker recognition and detection.

Recording/Reporting

Disposal
Test specifications

Sample?
- Breath
- Saliva
- Urine
- Blood
- Sputum

Technology?
- 5 min instrument free
- 5 min bedside gadget
- ½ hr ‘kitchen’ gadget
- 2-3 hr ‘kitchen’ test

Infrastructure?
- No cold chain
- No electricity
- Intermittent electricity
- Specialist training
- Cold chain
- Constant electricity
- Specialist supervision or maintenance

Affordable
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Affordable
Low cost tools can improve specimen quality and increase case detection.

www.youtube.com/watch?v=HhW85reE0Ug
Determine TB-LAM (Alere)

Test for lipoarabinomannan (LAM) antigen in urine.

To be commercialised 1st quarter 2012

M. tuberculosis cell wall
Multi-centre evaluation studies in South Africa. Results from one study published last week.

Diagnostic accuracy of a low-cost, urine antigen, point-of-care screening assay for HIV-associated pulmonary tuberculosis before antiretroviral therapy: a descriptive study

Stephen D Lawn, Andrew D Kerkhoff, Monica Voat, Robin Wood

Funded by Wellcome Trust

Determine TB-LAM had highest sensitivity at low CD4 cell counts
- 66.7% at <50 cells per μL
- 51.7% at <100 cells per μL
- 39.0% at <200 cells per μL

Specificity was greater than 98% for all strata.

When combined with smear sensitivity was
- 72.2% at CD4 counts less than 50 cells per μL
- 65.5% at less than 100 cells per μL
- 52.5% at less than 200 cells per μL

Did not differ statistically from the sensitivities obtained when testing a single sputum sample with the Xpert MTB/RIF assay.
Determine TB LAM Ag (Alere)

Test takes approx 30 min
Price $3-3.50 per test

A ‘rule in’ test
(not a ‘rule out’ test)

Lawn et al: Determine TB-LAM is a simple, low-cost, alternative to existing diagnostic assays for tuberculosis screening in HIV-infected patients with very low CD4 cell counts and provides important incremental yield when combined with sputum smear microscopy.
Nucleic acid amplification (NAAT)

Pros
- Can be highly specific
- Can detect drug resistance
- Does not require live organisms

Cons
- Needs pathogen DNA/RNA
- Needs sample processing
- Does not differentiate viable/dead bugs
Many challenges at POC

Sample collection

Sample purification

Amplification

Detection

Record keeping

Disposal
Sample purification at POC (and concentration?)

- Use robust amplification technology. Some isothermal reactions are *believed* to be more tolerant of dirty samples than PCR. (e.g. LAMP, RPA).
- Use mechanical separation e.g. Ustar
- Use automated sample prep e.g. GeneXpert
- Use magnetic beads
- Use magnetised nanoparticles
Instrument-free sample preparation

A rapid, simple method of purifying/concentrating DNA/RNA from clinical samples, such as blood, sputum and vaginal swabs, using a disposable syringe and membrane unit and proprietary reagents. No instrument is needed. The protocol takes only a few minutes.

Ustar Biotechnologies

**Amplification technologies.**

Many to choose from!

- PCR is slow and requires thermocycling (i.e. programmable instrument and power supply).

- Isothermal methods are faster. but most work at elevated temperatures (~65°C) and require a cold chain for the reagents.

- Not all technologies can multiplex or have an internal control.
Isothermal amplification using instrument free heat source developed by PATH. Is being tested with LAMP.

http://www.path.org/
Amplification at reduced temperatures

e.g. RPA, TwistDx Ltd

Recombinase Polymerase Amplification

The reaction takes place at temperatures between 22°C to 45°C.
No heating and cooling cycles.
Low energy requirement.
Detection technologies

Fluorescence, provides real time detection. Requires instrument (can be simple/portable) Signal not stable.
Visual detection technologies

LAMP Loop-mediated Isothermal Amplification
(Eiken Chemical Co., Ltd. & FIND)

Magnesium pyrophosphate is a by-product of the amplification reaction - white turbidity can be seen in the tubes

Multiplexing not possible (internal controls not possible).

www.finddiagnostics.org
Visual detection technologies

Lateral flow devices (cassettes).

Multiplexing possible, but limited.

Nucleic acid strips:
1-3: $10^4$ copies/ml
4-6: $10^3$ copies/ml
7-9: Negative Control

Ustar
http://bioustar.com/newSite/
Visual detection technologies

Aggregation of functionalised gold nanoparticles.

Nanoprobes are tiny particles labelled with specific markers. Surface plasmon resonance results in the nanoprobe suspension exhibiting a red colour. At high salt concentrations, nanoprobe aggregation turns the solution purple. If target DNA is present (i.e. amplicons) specific probe hybridization to the complementary sequence prevents aggregation of the nanoparticles, and the solution remains red.
TrueLab

A real time PCR platform from The Tulip Group, developed by Bigtec Labs.

A battery powered handheld device used in conjunction with a TruePrep sample prep platform and TrueNat chips.

Tests for other pathogens available very soon.

TB test in development and being evaluated.
Time to result less than one hour (incl sample prep).

Prototype expected to move to manufacture towards the end of 2011.

TrueLab instrument launch price approx $6000

Cost per TrueNat chip approx $10

Watch this space . . .  (nsriram@tulipgroup.com)
NAAT Summary

Several competing NAAT technologies to choose from. Only GeneXpert has moved into clinical practice in developing countries so far but cheaper technologies are in development, with some early phase evaluation studies ongoing.
Novel technologies in development

Several projects utilising nanotechnology

Antigen detection in breath

Rapid Biosensor Systems

VOC analysis

Raman spectroscopy

Coming soon . . .
Inadequate investment in R&D has delayed new test development. Now we have a better lab tests efforts MUST focus on the search for simple affordable devices that will change the way we control TB.
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